

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,525	08/26/2000	Michael A. Davis	CC-0273	6438	
4955	7590 11/30/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ESSOLA VAN DER SL	UYS &			
ADOLPHSO	N, LLP				
BRADFORD	GREEN BUILDING 5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
755 MAIN S'	TREET, P O BOX 224				
MONROE, 0					
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		09/648,525	DAVIS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alessandro V. Amari	2872				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>22 September 2005</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1. 🛛	☑ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛛	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection pres	sented for review	(37 CFR			
6. 🛚	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each grour	nd of rejection on	appeal (37 CFR			
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CF	R			
8. 🗍	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9.	he brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding lentified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.🖂	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	In regard to items 1, 5 and 6 above (in accordance v of the appeal brief have changed (see 41.3)), specifi "Summary of Invention" b) heading "Grounds of rejection".	ically: a) heading "Summary of claim	ed subject matter	"replaces			

In regard to items 1, 5 and 6 above (in accordance with new part 41, effective 13 September 2004, content and format of the appeal brief have changed (see 41.3)), specifically: a) heading "Summary of claimed subject matter" replaces "Summary of Invention";b) heading "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal" replaces "Issues" and "Grouping of claims" c) a concise statement and separate headings for each ground of rejection have not been provided. In regard to item 4 above, the brief does not set forth all of the structure corresponding to each claimed function to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings by reference number especially regarding claims 1, 32, 58, 71 and 72 (for example; no identification by reference numbers to the drawings of first and second optigal elements including first and second reflective elements).

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER